



## Action plan and decisions

What	Who	When
Change request shall be sent to Christophe Duquesne who will number them (to avoid numbering conflict) and publish them on Basecamp	all	now
Check the EU proposed profile against the national profile	To be done at each country level	During the development phase of the profile
Provide a simplified XSD for the profile	The Netherlands	Once the profile content will be defined
To provide XML examples to be inserted in the document.	Ulf Bjersing Nick Knowles Andrej Tibaut Stefan de Konink Kjell-Erik Eilertsen	Once the Profile's content will be defined
To provide consistency check rules	Nick Knowles Christophe Duquesne	Once the Profile's content will be defined
Review CRs, and <b>AS A MINIMUM</b> , fill the summary Google sheet for a per country position () Propose answers and solutions on Basecamp	All	Ongoing Before June meeting
Provide example and analysis for the PassingTime/Call discussion	Ulf, Nick, Stefan, Kristian, Christophe	June meeting
Propose a more consistent model for fares	Nick, Christophe, Stefan de Konink	June meeting
Propose a first set of recommendations for version management	Stefan de Konink	June meeting
Propose a minimum set of FLEXIBLE SERVICE concept	Kristian	June meeting

### **Pending discussions (for June meeting):**

- Do we need GroupOfStopPlaces (for airports, etc.) ?
- Should PASSING TIMEs be preferred to CALLs ? This appears to be the most difficult point to get an agreement on during the profile discussion
- Do we need a fare part in the profile ?
- What is the minimum need for FLEXIBLE SERVICE description
- How to manage the day when the time is changing?

## Minutes

*All the material used for these discussions (Model, Change Requests, etc.) are available on Basecamp: please refer to them for more details.*

TOPIC	COMMENTS
<b><u>Introduction and generic information</u></b>	<p><i>Christophe Duquesne</i></p> <p>Meeting agenda: <a href="https://public.3.basecamp.com/p/C6BdWmzkYOEJDyF6UP1xycZH">https://public.3.basecamp.com/p/C6BdWmzkYOEJDyF6UP1xycZH</a></p> <p>The priority action A of the ITS Directive, presented in Brussels by Stephanie Leonard has been published for comments: <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/ares-2017-1550125_en">https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/ares-2017-1550125_en</a></p> <p>ITxPT has opened a Working Group (WG10) to help setting up a European Transmodel/NeTEx/SIRI support group (<a href="http://itxpt.org/en/news/new-working-groups-and-related-registrations">http://itxpt.org/en/news/new-working-groups-and-related-registrations</a> )</p> <p>The translation of the German NeTEx Handbook is nearly finalized: it will be circulated before June meeting and presented during the meeting.</p>

TOPIC	COMMENTS
<b>European Profile</b>	<p><i>Christophe Duquesne &amp; all</i></p> <p>See Document: <a href="https://public.3.basecamp.com/p/2KMj9GF3HLUV7SN46ir3RqBY">https://public.3.basecamp.com/p/2KMj9GF3HLUV7SN46ir3RqBY</a></p> <p><b><u>Review of the first proposal for a concept selection for a European profile</u></b></p> <p><b>Stops diagram</b></p> <p>TARIFF ZONE should show in this diagram (only in Fare diagram for now)</p> <p>Topographic Places should be added to the concept selection</p> <p>The limit of 2 levels of nesting for StopPlaces is agreed. However some specific rules should be provided for places like airports (GroupOfStopPlaces ?)</p> <p>Equipments and PathLinks or too detailed to be part of the simple profile</p> <p>Accessibility information should be added to Quay (overriding the one at StopPlace level).</p> <p>BoardingPosition, PathLinks and Equipment will NOT be added in first edition in order to keep it as minimal as possible.</p> <p><b>LINES and ROUTEs diagram</b></p> <p>Authorities must be added (also taking into account the CR requiring multiple Authorities for a line)</p> <p>Depending on whether you need a Network map or a description of the path of a journey, you may prefer to use RouteLing or ServiceLink (topologic view or service view)</p> <p>Mode/submode are preferred to ProductCategory</p>

	<p><b>From LINE to SERVICE JOURNEY diagram</b></p> <p>INTERCHANGE should be added          DESTINATION DISPLAY should be added (and maybe change of DESTINATION DISPLAY)</p> <p><b>SERVICE JOURNEYS and PASSING TIMEs diagram</b></p> <p>We should consider using ValidDayBites (from UIC extension on OPERATING PERIOD) to ease compact description of calendars          A long discussion occurred to know if PASSING TIMEs should be preferred to CALLs. No decision on this point at this level. This is an open discussion for June meeting (examples and comparisons to be provided).          POINT IN JOURNEY PATTERN should not be grayed</p> <p><b>Basic Accessibility diagram</b></p> <p>Accessibility information should also be available at service level</p> <p><b>Basic Fares diagram</b></p> <p>The proposed GTFS mapped extract is either much too small, either too much. The use of fares in the profile is still a discussion point.          As a minimum, TARIF ZONE must be kept.</p> <p><b>Other points</b></p> <p>TimingPattern related information is not needed in the profile          Frequency based services should be added          Version management recommendations are needed          Flexible service should be available in the profile          Additional rules will probably be required for Accessibility description</p> <p><i>Note: the proposed concept selection has been updated accordingly to this discussion and is available on Basecamp.</i></p>
--	--

TOPIC	COMMENTS
<b>Change Request</b>	<p><i>Nick Knowles, Christophe Duquesne &amp; all</i></p> <p>See Document: <a href="https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1O5N6SkqjFUtCZfGsBKXRxUS7_8m67GH99SSU4PS1xIU">https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1O5N6SkqjFUtCZfGsBKXRxUS7_8m67GH99SSU4PS1xIU</a></p> <p><b><u>Walk through the 38 Change Requests</u></b></p> <p>Nick did a first walk through all the CR and already 22 proposal. A short review of them has been done during the meeting, mainly raising points to be discussed. Please, to ALL, check the proposals and react when necessary and, AS A MINIMUM, fill the summary Google sheet for a per country position (<a href="https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1O5N6SkqjFUtCZfGsBKXRxUS7_8m67GH99SSU4PS1xIU">https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1O5N6SkqjFUtCZfGsBKXRxUS7_8m67GH99SSU4PS1xIU</a> ).</p> <p>There are 5 additional CR to be added due to the ongoing Transmodel Part 5 (Fares) update.</p>

The following is a set of notes from the CR by CR discussion:

**CR8** will be managed as 2 parallel constructions (use one or the other, not both). **CR2** may be grouped with **CR8**.

**CR11** will be managed with a colour type and a colour as a string.

**CR13** will probably be rejected (no use outside of France ?)

**CR20** CharterTaxi will be added

**CR22** will probably be managed by additional assignment

**CR25** the solution has to be clearly isolated with the DestinationDisplay description